

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/695,662	10/28/2003	Jeffrey A. Mitcheltree	116762.00029	4065
21324 75	590 09/09/2005		EXAM	INER
HAHN LOESER & PARKS, LLP One GOJO Plaza		WATSON, ROBERT C		
Suite 300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AKRON, OH 44311-1076			3723	

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Anti Comment	10/695,662	MITCHELTREE, JEFFREY A.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Robert C. Watson	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<i>;</i> —	This action is non-final.	en procedution as to the mosts is			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
ciosed in accordance with the practice di	nuel Ex parte Quayle, 1933 C.D.	11, 400 O.G. 210.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-15 is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in Ap e priority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/15/04.	(48) Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase, "screwdriver style" in the preamble of claims 1 and 8 is vague and indefinite. The body of the claim fails to recite sufficient structure to characterize a screwdriver. For example, a screwdriver commonly has a shank having a blade end. It is unclear if applicant intends to claim a shank having a blade end or not.

Accordingly, the metes and bounds of claim are indeterminate of scope.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Tsai.

Tsai shows a screwdriver style pry bar having a handle member 1, an elongated shank 2, and an impact cap 3,4. Portions of the impact cap shown in Figure 4 are seen to be rounded. The handle is disclosed as being made from "insulated material" and made by "injection molding". The examiner takes Official Notice that handles that are made of insulated material and made by injection molding are commonly made from plastic. Accordingly, to make the handle member 1 of Tsai from plastic would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the fact that materials with those properties are commonly made from plastic and this is an

Application/Control Number: 10/695,662

Art Unit: 3723

inexpensive way to provide a handle with this property. Column 2, lines 24-28 indicate that the the metal cap 4 and the shank 2 are made from an electrically conductive material. The examiner takes Official Notice that an electrically conductive material is metal and that screwdriver shanks are commonly made from metal. Accordingly to make the cap 4 and shank 2 from metal would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the fact that metal is commonly used for screwdriver shanks and metal is commonly used on impact receiving materials. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide the tool with the requisite strength and wear characteristics that are common when metal is used.

Claim 12-15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 8/18/05.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER